meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required:

## Amendments to the claims:

-Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).

-Other: Sub-Specification marked-up copy was not supplied.

Applicant respectfully explains that a purpose of filing of the revised description, claims, and abstract of the PCT Application No.

PCT/PL2004/000089, (with a copy of the Demand under Article 31), on June 19, 2009, was to provide the Patent and Trademark Office with a copy of the PCT Application No. PCT/PL2004/000089, amended during the International Examination on May 20, 2005, which the US Patent Office did not have on file, as stated in the Office communication of May 14, 2009. As recommended by Examiner Flemming in the Office communication of May 14, 2009, the applicant encloses herewith the marked-up copy of the specification and claims (and clean copy of abstract), of the PCT Application No. PCT/PL2004/000089, which reflects the changes made during the International Examination as compare to the originally filed PCT Application No. PCT/PL2004/000089.

All applicant's communication filed in the present case, namely, the Response dated July 7, 2008, and the Amendment dated January 29, 2009, were based on the amended version of the PCT Application No. PCT/PL2004/000089.

S. N: 10/577,821 MID202R2 February 1, 2010 Page 2

Acceptance of the marked-up copies of the specification, and claims showing changes made during the International Examination in PCT Application No. PCT/PL2004, 000089, and a clean copy of the abstract, is respectfully requested. Applicant also respectfully requests that the claims included in the Amendment dated January 29, 2009 and filed on January 30, 2009, should be subject of further examination before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Respectfully submitted,

Małgorzata Wesołowska

By: Hum M Kayeur

Horst M. Kasper, her attorney,

13 Forest Drive, Warren, N.J. 07059

Tel.:(908)526-1717 Fax:(908)526-6977 Reg. No. 28,559; Docket No.: MID202

/am